

Daily Gazette.

PUBLISHED EVERY DAY.

DEMOCRAT PUBLISHING COMPANY

Publishers and Proprietors.

OFFICE OF PUBLICATION:

Corner of Duak and Fifth Streets.

ENTERED AT THE POST OFFICE, FORT WORTH, TEXAS, POST OFFICE AS SECOND-CLASS MAIL MATTER.

TO THE PUBLIC.

The only traveling persons, male or female, at present authorized to receive and receipt for subscriptions to THE GAZETTE are J. E. Steadman, John P. McDuff, J. H. Barnes, Mrs. A. Baugh, Mrs. C. B. Baugh, Mrs. L. Calhoun, Miss Annie Shapard and Mrs. S. Kennedy. The public are cautioned not to pay money to any other person representing themselves as traveling agents of this paper, as all authority heretofore issued to any other person than those named is hereby revoked.

DEMOCRAT PUBLISHING COMPANY.

April 14, 1890.

For a state that is not to build any more railroads, Texas seems to be strangely excited over railroad building.

The tariff is a tax and the Republican administration has increased the taxes. Will the American bear his burden without a kick?

MR. CHARLES FRANCIS ADAMS: Your great rival is munched on another town. Cast your eyes over Fort Worth and notice its advantages as a point from which to do him up.

The Philadelphia Record says that Cannon's mouth waters for one more term. Unless the public taste has been greatly vitiated, the public are ready to dam such waters and stop their flow.

The people of Dallas work intelligently, unitedly, liberally and with their eyes full on Fort Worth, the common enemy. Fort Worth works like a mob, every man to himself. The result can be seen. The people of Fort Worth should get together.

LOOK at the city, President Adams, and see what it can be made. To the west of it lie all the cheap Texas lands and two-thirds of the increase in Texas assessed values for the past year. Look aside with us, Mr. Adams, and you will never have cause to regret it.

FORT WORTH has never had the favor of any railroad; but it stacks up all the same as a monument to the energy of its people working against the odds of railroad disfavor. You know what that means, Mr. Adams; give us a lift and see how this town will help you make the fly.

THE Thurman club requests the honor of THE GAZETTE's presence at the "Old Roman" banquet in honor of Allen G. Thurman on his seventy-seventh birthday anniversary at Columbus, Ohio, Thursday, November 20, 1890, at 7 p.m., and THE GAZETTE would be there if it could.

Or the Rapid Transit, so-called, the Dallas News says: "In addition to this it is stated that if any such move is probable as that of building this line it will upon completion prove a bequest to the Fort Worth and Denver, which as is everywhere known, has long wishfully looked in this direction." On to Dallas.

THE demand made by Lord Sackville for ground rent for the site of the Shakespeare memorial presented by George Child has been severely condemned. A recent sale of pictures by his lordship may somewhat extenuate the offense. The rank is not the guinea stamp in his case. In short, his lordship needs funds.

THE "21" puzzle is the latest invention run in the interest of lunatic asylums. Many of the exchanges are devoting a column to unsuccessful attempts at solution. The apparent simplicity is beguiling, and investigation leads to the conclusion that in this case, as in many others, appearances are deceitful. The puzzle is to make 21 from any six of the odd digits from 1 to 9, placed in three columns, each having the five odd numbers.

THE theory advanced by some, and with show of reason, is that the Clayton killing was unintentional. That the purpose was to shoot out the light and thus furnish Powell Clayton, who has been since 1868 industriously collecting outrage items, one that could be used as political capital in influencing Northern elections. This phrase is copied from a letter he wrote to the governor of Arkansas, collecting his aid in securing such items. There are many circumstances attendant which taken in connection with the non-action of the sub-committee of congress tend to establish this theory.

FORT WORTH is losing prestige as a railroad builder. The Gainesville Hesperian says: "THE GAZETTE cannot stir up its people to the setting point on the Albuquerque road. Some day they will wish they had." And the Hale City Globe adds this comforting suggestion: "It looks as though Fort Worth has materially weakened on railroad building. The continual harping on the Albuquerque without doing anything is growing not only tedious, but also unprofitable. Now let the people of the central plains turn their attention to and give the Wichita Valley some encouragement. Its present terminus is 150 miles nearer than the Albuquerque, and when that road connects with the Rock Island we would have connection both with the North and the East." The years that are to come will demonstrate what Fort Worth has lost by its failure to secure the Albuquerque road.

FORT WORTH is glad to welcome you, Mr. Adams. Your road does not own hundreds of acres of land in this city, but if you will lend your Yankee perspicacity to our Southern dash, we will help you down the common enemy.

THERE is need for the people of Fort Worth to pull together if they wish to convert this town into a city. Other towns are thoroughly organized and working and spending money as an investment, and Fort Worth must move forward—or backward. This fact must be recognized, for there is no standing still. Owners of property dependent for value on the growth of the town must get together and act.

THE SAME OLD STORY. The following table, compiled from special dispatches to the Boston Post from the managers of the leading clearing houses of the United States and Canada, shows the gross exchanges for the past week, in comparison with the corresponding week of last year:

CITIES.	Week ending Oct. 15, 1890.	Week ending Oct. 15, 1889.	Per cent.
New York	\$ 812,477.44	793,010.51	2.1
Boston	107,447.24	106,987.97	1.5
Chicago	1,193,060.00	1,296,000.00	1.5
Philadelphia	774,512.00	754,728.00	2.7
St. Louis	212,442.00	237,964.96	1.4
San Francisco	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Pittsburgh	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Baltimore	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Cincinnati	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Kansas C'y	9,280.15	9,262.14	0.2
St. Paul	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Buffalo	9,072.123	9,048.171	1.39
N. Orleans	11,472,535.00	11,421,535.00	0.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Louisville	7,266.816	7,263.754	1.67
Detroit	6,128,950.00	6,055,391.00	23.01
Indianapolis	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Cleveland	5,347.247	5,405,729.19	19.00
Omaha	5,351,260.00	4,282,232.00	24.44
Portland	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Providence	6,284.065	6,087,509.00	11.90
Columbus	6,278.100	6,485,700.00	29.00
Richmond	1,488,487.00	1,488,487.00	0.00
Dallas	3,128.408	3,001,909.00	15.65
San Francisco	2,350,223.00	2,326,106.00	1.06
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Fort Worth	1,760,055	5,539,415	1198.88
Peoria	2,018.145	2,017,781.00	34.66
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
Washington	1,098,622.00	1,248,188.00	11.24
Memphis	1,098,622.00	1,248,188.00	11.24
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666.00	1.4
San Antonio	1,142,524.00	1,288,666	